

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 (WW.Usplo.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,077	01/31/2002	Sten Frolich	ANO 6187 US1/3161CIP	4735
7590 10/15/2004			EXAMINER	
LAINIE E. PARKER AKZO NOBEL INC.			CHIN, PETER	
7 LIVINGSTO	NE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DOBBS FERRY	Y, NY 10522-3408		1731	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/066,077	FROLICH ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Chin	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amouth the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mailing cellater than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply of the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply of the mail in the mail in the mail in the mail in the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory peri	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension or a second control of the fee.				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 September 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	within the period set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consider consideration Sheet.	lered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		·				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☑ will be entered and an vor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 0. Other:		/ 1 1				
		Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Begala '062 disclose polysulfonated styrene, a vinyl polymer, col. 7, lines.7-12. There is no showing of any criticality as to the anionic vinyl monomer. The only disclosure is to sulfonated polystyrene but no showing has made that would support unexpected properties over other anionic polymers such as anionic condensation polymers. At best, the examples are limited to the sulfonated polystyrene and the present indendent claim are not so limite